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**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVALUATION**

**(Submitted to SACS for each TI evaluated with a copy to NACO)**

**Profile of the evaluator(s):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the evaluators** | **Contact Details with phone no.** |
| **Ms. Sheeba Rehman (Team Leader)** | Address: c/o Talib Ali ,B-91 ,Premium orchid colony near people mall Bhopal Email ID:sheeba.rehman@gmail.com  Mobile No.: 9425609609 |
| **Ms Nida Khan(Co-Evaluator)** | 27-B, Near Deeniyat School ,Jinsi Road Jehangirabad-Bhopal-MP  Mob: 9039949687  Email: [p4u2012@gmail.com](mailto:p4u2012@gmail.com) |
| **Mr.Nasima Begum Sheikh S. Anwar (Dist. Asst.- Accounts, DAPCU,Finance Evaluator)** | Mobile :09890976024 |
| **Mr.SumantPangantiwar (DPM-DAPCU)** | Mobile No. 09420753825 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the NGO:** | **JanhitayMandalChandrapur** |
| **Typology of the target population:** | Migrants |
| **Total population being covered against target:** | 11385 active population against 10000 allocated target |
| **Dates of Visit:** | 14th April 2016 to 16th April 2016 |
| **Place of Visit:** | Chandrapur Maharashtra |

**Overall Rating based programme delivery score:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total Score Obtained (in %)** | **Category** | **Rating** | **Recommendations** |
| **53%** | **C** | **Average** | **Recommended for continuation with technical inputs by PO/TSU/MSACS and revalidation of assigned sites of High risk migrants with immediate recommendation to change TI office.**   |  | | --- | |  | |

**Specific Recommendations:**

|  |
| --- |
| * Revalidation suggested for Chadrapur sites. * (The project has been assigned with the target of 15000 migrants to intervene while 84117(Male-15017, Female-3495) migrants were registered from 2008 to 2016.   Out of 11616 registered migrants only 9147(60%) Target is having high risk behavior,and it felt that in Chandrapur, assigned or selected sites is very scattered and small(40-50 Migrants)pockets of construction labors due to wrong selection ,TI staff is not got needed output it is suggested that reconsider the site selection of JM Chandrapur. )   * Office need to change immediately after extension. * Maximum PL need to select from source state/stakeholders. * DIC footfall need to increase and in office DIC should establish. * Out reach timings need to focus by TI. * PM,M&E and counselor need to regulate field visits. * M&E to develop ability of providing with analytical data relevant to the programme. * In Project Management Committee (PMC) representation of stakeholders/migrants is negligible needs to be taken into prime consideration. PMC need to regulate. * Festive occasions were not considered in any of the planning exercise and TI-team could not conceptualize the same. This requires attention for the success of planned events. * Capacity building of staffs as well as peer leaders need to be emphasized both as in-house and on-site mentoring, as per the NACO protocol; * Internal review and monitoring by the NGO to be done on regular basis to ensure proper implementation of the project. * The project staff has adopted a very casual approach towards the programme they need to be focused. They have been trained and understand the deliverables of the TI however; the same is not reflected in their work, in field. |

**Name of the evaluators Signature**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Ms. SheebaRehman (Team Leader)** |  |
| **MsNida Khan(Co-Evaluator)** |  |
| **Mr.Nasima Begum Sheikh S. Anwar (Dist. Asst.- Accounts, DAPCU,Finance Evaluator)** |  |
| **Mr.Sumant Pangantiwar (DPM-DAPCU)** |  |